

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 16-0715V

Filed: January 12, 2017

Unpublished

* * * * *

IRENE DRISCOLL,

*

*

Petitioner,

*

*

v.
SECRETARY OF HEALTH
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

*

Attorneys' Fees and Costs;
Special Processing Unit ("SPU")

*

*

Respondent.

*

*

* * * * *

*Edward M. Kraus, Law Offices, Chicago-Kent College of Law, Chicago, IL, for petitioner.
Althea W. Davis, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On June 20, 2016, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, et seq.,² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a shoulder injury that was caused-in-fact by an influenza ("flu") vaccine she received on October 15, 2014. On December 12, 2016, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on the respondent's proffer. (ECF No. 21).

On January 10, 2017, petitioner filed an unopposed motion for attorneys' fees and costs. (ECF No. 25).³ Petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned intends to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

³ The motion was filed as an unopposed motion and states that respondent does not object to the overall amount sought, as it is not an unreasonable amount to have been incurred in this case to date.

\$11,589.70 and attorneys' costs in the amount of \$791.48 for a total amount of \$12,381.18. *Id.* at 2. In accordance with General Order #9, petitioner's counsel represents that petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request and the lack of opposition from respondent, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

Accordingly, the undersigned awards \$12,381.18,⁴ representing reimbursement for all attorneys' fees and costs, in the form of a check payable jointly to petitioner and petitioner's counsel, Edward M. Kraus.

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.⁵

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey
Nora Beth Dorsey
Chief Special Master

Respondent's lack of objection to the amount sought in this case should not be construed as admission, concession or waiver as to the hourly rates requested, the number of hours billed, or the other litigation-related costs. Motion at 1.

⁴ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See generally *Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

⁵ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.P